

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10501 / 2017

Babu Singh Rajpurohit S/o Bhanwar Lal Rajpurohit, Aged About 36
Years, By Caste Rajpurohit, Resident of Jaitaran, District Pali.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Pali Central Cooperative Bank Limited, Through Its
Managing Director, Head Office, Pali.
2. State of Rajasthan Through the Principal Secretary, Cooperative
Department Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. Registrar Cooperative Societies, Nehru Sahkar Bhawan Bias
Godown, Jaipur.
4. Chief Executive Officer, The Pali Central Cooperative Bank
Limited, Head Office, Pali.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sunil Beniwal

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Salil Trivedi

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI

Order

02.04.2018

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition for the
following reliefs :-

*“a) by an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents
may kindly be directed to accept the application form submitted
by the petitioner.*

*b) by an appropriate writ, order or direction, the petitioner be
allowed to participate in the selection process for the post of
Loan Supervisor which is to be undertaken by the respondents in
pursuance to the letter/ order dated 09.5.2017 (Annex.10) and if*

the petitioner is selected in the selection process, the respondents be directed to offer appointment to the petitioner on the post of Loan Supervisor with all consequential benefits.

(c) The decision of the respondents to provide maximum age for the post of Loan Supervisor as 33 years be declared illegal and bad and the respondents be directed to provide maximum age for the post of Loan Supervisor as 38 years and in consequence thereof, the petitioner be declared as eligible and within age being 36 years of age for appointment on the post of Loan Supervisor.



d) Any other appropriate writ, order or direction which this Hon`ble Court may deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner.

e) Writ petition filed by the petitioner may kindly be allowed with costs.”

2. Counsel for the parties are in agreement that the controversy involved in this writ petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in **Vikas Shrimali Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.,(S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.10669/2017,** decided on 14.3.2018, wherein the writ petition has been dismissed. The order aforesaid reads as follows :-

“It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that the petitioners though have questioned the eligibility on account of prescribing upper-age limit in the selection process, the petitioners even otherwise are ineligible on account of non-fulfillment of other

requirements i.e. the experience on the Feeder post and/or the fact that they are not regularly selected Managers and, therefore, irrespective of the challenge laid regarding the upper-age limit, the petitioners are otherwise not entitled to get any relief.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute the fact that on account of the eligibility conditions as indicated, the petitioners besides the ineligibility qua the upper-age limited, are otherwise ineligible.

In view of the above fact situation, the writ petitions are dismissed leaving it open for the petitioners to apply as and when they acquire eligibility, in case the challenge laid in the other petitions pertaining to the upper-age limit is sustained.

It is made clear that in case the petitioners are disputing the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondents regarding ineligibility on the ground pertaining to the experience, it would be open for the petitioners to question the said aspect, in case the petitioners' eligibility is rejected by the respondents on the said count, by way of appropriate proceedings."

3. In the result, this writ petition too is dismissed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in the case of Vikas Shrimali (supra).

(DR. PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI)J.

Sanjay



सत्यमेव जयते