

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writs No. 7060/2018

1. Roshan Kumar Jat S/o Shri Murlidhar Jat, B/c Jat (O.b.c.), Aged About 46 Years, R/o Village Majipura, Post Jagatpura, Via Samod, District Jaipur (Raj.) Presently Working On The Post Of Prabodhak At Govt. Primary School, Maharkalan, Govindgarh, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. Mahaveer Prasad Gurjar S/o Shri Shriram Gurjar, B/c Gurjar (S.b.c.), Aged About 50 Years, R/o Village Keshwana Gurjar, Post Keshwana Rajput, Tehsil Kotputli, District Jaipur Presently Working On The Post Of Prabodhak At Govt. Girls Upper Primary School, Banar, P.s. Kotputli, District Jaipur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Elementary Education, Secretariat Govt. Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. The District Education Officer Cum Additional Chief Executive Officer, Elementary Education, Zila Parishad, Jaipur (Raj.)
4. The Block Elementary Education Officer, P.s. Govindgarh, District Jaipur.
5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, P.s. Kotputli, District Jaipur.

----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Tarun Choudhary  
For Respondent(s) :

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VEERENDR SINGH SIRADHANA**


**Order**

**04/04/2018**

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset submits that identically situated person namely Mr. Vinod Kumar

Chobisa, has been accorded notional benefits from 28<sup>th</sup> January, 2008, whereas the petitioners, who were identically situated, have been declined the same treatment and thus, the action of the State-respondents is discriminatory and in violation to the mandate of Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

Learned counsel further submits that for the present, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the State-respondents are directed to consider and decide the representation within a time frame in the backdrop of order dated 7<sup>th</sup> May, 2013, wherein benefits on notional basis have been accorded to Mr. Vinod Kumar Chopisa.



In view of the limited prayer addressed; the instant writ proceedings are closed with a direction to the petitioners to address a comprehensive representation to the respondents within two weeks hereinafter.

In case, a representation is so addressed within the aforesaid period, the State-respondents are directed to consider and decide the same by a reasoned speaking order as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law. However, in no case later than three months from the date of receipt of the representation along with a certified copy of this order.

With the observations and directions, as indicated above, the writ application stands disposed off.

(VEERENDR SINGH SIRADHANA)J.